arXiv:math/0502053v3 [math.GN] 25 Feb 2005

SOME RESULTS IN GENERALIZED ŠERSTNEV SPACES

M. ALIMOHAMMADY AND R. SAADATI

Abstract. In this paper, we show that D-compactness in Generalized Šerstnev spaces implies D-boundedness and as in the classical case, a D-bounded and closed subset of a characteristic Generalized Šerstnev is not D-compact in general. Finally, in the finite dimensional Generalized Šerstnev spaces a subset is D-compact if and only if it is D-bounded and closed.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Probabilistic normed spaces (PN spaces henceforth) were introduced by Šerstnev in 1963 [8]. In the sequel, we adopt the new definition of Generalized Šerstnev PN spaces given in the paper by Lafuerza-Guillén and Rodríguez [6]. The notations and concepts used are those of [2, 3, 4, 6] and [9].

In the sequel, the space of probability distribution functions is denoted by $\Delta^+ = \{F : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow [0,1] : F \text{ is left-continuous, non-decreasing, } F(0) = 0 \text{ and } F(+\infty) = 1\}$ and $D^+ \subseteq \Delta^+$ is defined as follows

$$D^+ = \{F \in \Delta^+ : l^- F(+\infty) = 1\}.$$

The space Δ^+ is partially ordered by the usual point-wise ordering of functions i.e., $F \leq G$ if and only if $F(x) \leq G(x)$ for all x in $\mathbb{R}$. The maximal element for Δ^+ in this order is ε_0 , a distributive defined by

$$\varepsilon_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & if \quad x \leq 0 \\ 1 & if \quad x > 0. \end{cases}$$

A triangle function is a binary operation on Δ^+, namely a function $\tau : \Delta^+ \times \Delta^+ \longrightarrow \Delta^+$ that is associative, commutative, nondecreasing and which has ε_0 as unit, viz. For all $F, G, H \in \Delta^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\tau(F,G),H) &= \tau(F,\tau(G,H)), \\ \tau(F,G) &= \tau(G,F), \\ F \leq G &\implies \tau(F,H) \leq \tau(G,H), \\ \tau(F,\varepsilon_0) &= F. \end{aligned}$$

Continuity of a triangle functions means continuity with respect to the topology of weak convergence in Δ^+.

Typical continuous triangle functions are $\tau_T(F,G)(x) = \sup_{s+t=x} T(F(s),G(t))$ and $\tau_{T^*}(F,G) = \inf_{s+t=x} T^*(F(s),G(t))$.

Here, T is a continuous t-norm, i.e. a continuous binary operation on $[0,1]$ that is commutative, associative, nondecreasing in each variable and has 1 as identity,

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54E70.

Key words and phrases. Probabilistic normed space, Generalized Šerstnev spaces, finite dimensional space, compactness.

and T^* is a continuous t-conorm, namely a continuous binary operation on $[0,1]$ which is related to the continuous t-norm T through $T^*(x,y) = 1 - T(1-x, 1-y)$.

Definition 1.1. A probabilistic normed (briefly PN) space is a quadruple (V, ν, τ, τ^*), where V is a real vector space, τ and τ^* are continuous triangle functions, and ν is a mapping from V into Δ^+ such that, for all p, q in V, the following conditions hold:

(N1) $\nu_p = \varepsilon_0$ if and only if, $p = \theta$, where θ is the null vector in V;
(N2) $\nu_{-p} = \nu_p$, for each $p \in V$;
(N3) $\nu_{p+q} \geq \tau(\nu_p, \nu_q)$, for all $p, q \in V$;
(N4) $\nu_p \leq \tau^*(\nu_{\alpha p}, \nu_{(1-\alpha)p})$, for all α in $[0,1]$.

If the inequality (N4) is replaced by the equality

$$\nu_p = \tau_M(\nu_{\alpha p}, \nu_{(1-\alpha)p}),$$

then the PN space is called *Šerstnev* space and, as a consequence, a condition stronger than (N2) holds, namely

$$\nu_{\lambda p}(x) = \nu_p(\frac{x}{|\lambda|}),$$

for all $p \in V$, $\lambda \neq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Following [1, 6], for $0 < b \leq +\infty$, let M_b be the set of *m-transforms* consist on all continuous and strictly increasing functions from $[0, b]$ onto $[0, +\infty]$. More generally, let $\widetilde{M}$ be the set of non decreasing left-continuous functions $\phi : [0, +\infty] \longrightarrow [0, +\infty]$, $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi(+\infty) = +\infty$ and $\phi(x) > 0$, for $x > 0$. Then $M_b \subseteq \widetilde{M}$ once m is extended to $[0, +\infty]$ by $m(x) = +\infty$ for all $x \geq b$. Note that a function $\phi \in \widetilde{M}$ is bijective if and only if $\phi \in M_{+\infty}$. Sometimes, the probabilistic norms ν and ν' of two given PN spaces satisfy $\nu' = \nu\phi$ for some $\phi \in M_{+\infty}$, non necessarily bijective. Let $\hat{\phi}$ be the (unique) quasi-inverse of ϕ which is left-continuous. Recall from [9] page 49, that $\hat{\phi}$ is defined by $\hat{\phi}(0) = 0$, $\hat{\phi}(+\infty) = +\infty$ and $\hat{\phi}(t) = \sup\{u : \phi(u) < t\}$ for all $0 < t < +\infty$. It follows that $\hat{\phi}(\phi(x)) \leq x$ and $\phi(\hat{\phi}(y)) \leq y$ for all x and y.

Definition 1.2. [6]. A quadruple (V, ν, τ, τ^*) satisfying the ϕ-Šerstnev condition

$$\nu_{\lambda p}(x) = \nu_p(\hat{\phi}(\frac{\phi(x)}{|\lambda|})),$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $p \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ is called a ϕ-Šerstnev PN space (*Generalized* Šerstnev spaces).

Lemma 1.3. *If $|\alpha| \leq |\beta|$, then $\nu_{\beta p} \leq \nu_{\alpha p}$ for every p in V.*

Definition 1.4. Let (V, ν, τ, τ^*) be a PN space. For each p in V and $\lambda > 0$, the strong $\lambda - neighborhood$ of p is the set

$$N_p(\lambda) \quad = \quad \{q \in V : \nu_{p-q}(\lambda) > 1 - \lambda\},$$

and the strong neighborhood system for V is the union $\bigcup_{p \in V} \mathcal{N}_p$ where $\mathcal{N}_p = \{N_p(\lambda) : \lambda > 0\}$.

The strong neighborhood system for V determines a Hausdorff topology for V.

Definition 1.5. Let (V, ν, τ, τ^*) be a PN space, a sequence $\{p_n\}_n$ in V is said to be strongly convergent to p in V if for each $\lambda > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $p_n \in N_p(\lambda)$, for $n \geq N$. Also the sequence $\{p_n\}_n$ in V is called strongly Cauchy sequence if for every $\lambda > 0$ there is a positive integer N such that $\nu_{p_n - p_m}(\lambda) > 1 - \lambda$, whenever $m, n > N$. A PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*) is said to be strongly complete in the strong topology if and only if every strongly Cauchy sequence in V is strongly convergent to a point in V.

Definition 1.6. [4]. Let (V, ν, τ, τ^*) be a PN space and A be the nonempty subset of V. The probabilistic radius of A is the function R_A defined on $\mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$R_A(x) = \begin{cases} l^- inf\{\nu_p(x) : p \in A\} & if \quad x \in [0, +\infty) \\ 1 & if \quad x = +\infty. \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.7. [4]. A nonempty set A in a PN space $(V, \nu, \tau, \tau^*)$is said to be:

(a) certainly bounded, if $R_A(x_0) = 1$ for some $x_0 \in (0, +\infty)$;

(b) perhaps bounded, if one has $R_A(x) < 1$, for every $x \in (0, +\infty)$ and $l^- R_A(+\infty) = 1$;

(c) perhaps unbounded, if $R_A(x_0) > 0$ for some $x_0 \in (0, +\infty)$ and $l^- R_A(+\infty) \in (0, 1)$;

(d) certainly unbounded, if $l^- R_A(+\infty) = 0$ i.e., if $R_A = \varepsilon_\infty$.

Moreover, A is said to be distributionally bounded, or simply D-bounded if either (a) or (b) holds, i.e. $R_A \in D^+$. If $R_A \in \Delta^+ - D^+$, A is called D-unbounded.

Theorem 1.8. [4]. *A subset A in the PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*) is D-bounded if and only if there exists a d.f. $G \in D^+$ such that $\nu_p \geq G$ for every $p \in A$.*

Definition 1.9. [4]. A subset A of TVS V is said to be topologically bounded if for every sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ of real numbers that converges to zero as $n \longrightarrow +\infty$ and for every $\{p_n\}$ of elements of A, one has $\alpha_n p_n \longrightarrow \theta$, in the strong topology.

Theorem 1.10. [3].*Suppose (V, ν, τ, τ^*) is a PN space, when it is endowed with the strong topology induced by the probabilistic norm ν. Then it is a topological vector space if and only if for every $p \in V$ the map from $\mathbb{R}$ into V defined by*

$$\alpha \longmapsto \alpha p$$

is continuous.

The PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*) is called *characteristic* whenever $\nu(V) \subseteq D^+$.

Theorem 1.11. [6]. *Let $\phi \in \widetilde{M}$ such that $\lim_{x \longrightarrow \infty} \hat{\phi}(x) = \infty$. Then a ϕ-Šerstnev PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*) is a TVS if and only if it is characteristic.*

Lemma 1.12. [5, 6]. *Let $\phi \in \widetilde{M}$ such that $\lim_{x \longrightarrow \infty} \hat{\phi}(x) = \infty$. Let (V, ν, τ, τ^*) be a characteristic ϕ-Šerstnev PN space. Then for a subset A of V the following are equivalent*

(a) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \subset kN_\theta(1/n)$.

(b) A is D-bounded.

(c) A is topologically bounded .

2. D-bounded and D-compact sets in ϕ-Šerstnev spaces

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\phi \in \widetilde{M}$ such that $\lim_{x\longrightarrow\infty}\hat{\phi}(x)=\infty$. Then in a characteristic ϕ-Šerstnev PN space (V,ν,τ,τ^*) if $p_m \longrightarrow p$ in V and $A=\{p_m : m\in\mathbb{N}\}$, then A is a D-bounded subset of V.*

Proof. Let $p_m \longrightarrow p_0$ and $\alpha_m \longrightarrow 0$. Then there exists $m_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m\geq m_0$, $0<\alpha_m<1$, then

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\nu_{\alpha_m p_m} & \geq & \tau(\nu_{\alpha_m(p_m-p_0)},\nu_{\alpha_m p_0})\\ & > & \tau(\nu_{p_m-p_0},\nu_{\alpha_m p_0})\\ & \longrightarrow & \tau(\varepsilon_0,\varepsilon_0)\\ & = & \varepsilon_0,\end{array}$$

as m tend to infinity. □

Example 2.2. *The 4-tuple $(\mathbb{R},\nu,\tau_\pi,\tau_M)$, where $\nu:\mathbb{R}\longrightarrow\Delta^+$ is defined by*

$$\nu_p(x)=\begin{cases}0 & if \quad x=0\\ \frac{ax}{x+|p|} & if \quad 0<x<+\infty\\ 1 & if \quad x=+\infty\end{cases},$$

$a\in(0,1)$, $\nu_0=\varepsilon_0$ and $\phi(x)=x$, is a ϕ-Šerstnev space (see [6]). The sequence $\{\frac{1}{m}\}$ is convergent but $A=\{\frac{1}{m}:m\in\mathbb{N}\}$ is not D-bounded set. The only D-bounded set in this space is $\{0\}$.

Definition 2.3. The characteristic ϕ-Šerstnev PN space (V,ν,τ,τ^*) is said to be distributionally compact (simply D-compact) if every sequence $\{p_m\}_m$ in V has a convergent subsequence $\{p_{m_k}\}$. A subset A of a characteristic ϕ-Šerstnev PN space (V,ν,τ,τ^*) is said to be D-compact if every sequence $\{p_m\}$ in A has a subsequence $\{p_{m_k}\}$ convergent to a element $p\in A$.

Lemma 2.4. *A D-compact subset of a characteristic ϕ-Šerstnev PN space (V,ν,τ,τ^*), is D-bounded and closed.*

Proof. Suppose that $A\subseteq V$ is D-compact. From Lemma 1.12 it is enough show that A is topologically bounded. On the contrary there is a sequence $\{p_m\}\subseteq A$ and a real sequence $\alpha_m\longrightarrow 0$ such that $\{\alpha_m p_m\}$ doesn't tend to the origin in V. Then there is an infinite set $J\subseteq\mathbb{N}$ such that the sequence $\{\alpha_m p_m\}_{m\in J}$ lies in the complement of a neighborhood of the origin. Now $\{p_m\}$ is a subset of D-compact set A, so it has a convergent subsequence $\{p_m\}_{m\in J'}$. From Lemmas 1.12 and 2.1 $\{p_m\}_{m\in J'}$ is topologically bounded and so $\{\alpha_m p_m\}_{m\in J'}$ tends to origin which is a contradiction. The closedness of A is trivial . □

As in the classical case, a D-bounded and closed subset of a characteristic ϕ-Šerstnev is not D-compact in general, as one can see from the next example.

Example 2.5. *We consider quadruple $(\mathbb{Q},\nu,\tau_\pi,\tau_M)$, where $\pi(x,y)=xy$, for every $x,y\in[0,1]$ and probabilistic norm $\nu_p(t)=\frac{t}{t+|p|}$. It is straightforward to check that $(\mathbb{Q},\nu,\tau_\pi,\tau_M)$ is a characteristic ϕ-Šerstnev PN space. In this space, convergence of a sequence is equivalent to its convergence in $\mathbb{R}$. We consider the subset $A=[a,b]\cap\mathbb{Q}$ which $a,b\in\mathbb{R}-\mathbb{Q}$. Since $R_A(t)=\frac{t}{t+\max\{|a|,|b|\}}$, then A is D-bounded set and since A is closed in $\mathbb{Q}$ classically, and so is closed in $(\mathbb{Q},\nu,\tau_\pi,\tau_M)$. We*

know A is not classically compact in $\mathbb{Q}$, i.e. there exists a sequence in $\mathbb{Q}$ with no convergent subsequence in classical sense and so in $(\mathbb{Q}, \nu, \tau_\pi, \tau_M)$. Hence A is not D-compact.

Theorem 2.6. [7].*Consider a finite dimensional characteristic ϕ-Šerstnev PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*) on real field $(\mathbb{R}, \nu', \tau', \tau'^*)$. Every subset A of V is D-compact if and only if A is D-bounded and closed.*

References

[1] C. Alsina and B. Schweizer, The countable product of probabilistic metric spaces, *Houston J. Math.* **9** (1983), 303-310.

[2] C. Alsina, B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, On the definition of a probabilistic normed space, *Aequationes Math.,* **46** (1993) 91-98.

[3] C. Alsina, B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Continuity properties of probabilistic norms, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **208** (1997) 446-452.

[4] B. Lafuerza-Guillén, A. Rodríguez-Lallena and C. Sempi, A study of boundedness in probabilistic normed spaces . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **232** (1999) 183-196.

[5] B. Lafuerza-Guillén, A. Rodríguez Lallena and C. Sempi, Normability of probabilistic normed spaces, to appear in *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*

[6] B. Lafuerza-Guillén, Jose L. Rodríguez , Probabilistic normed spaces with non necessarily continuous triangle functions, http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/math.PR/0408207.

[7] R. Saadati and M. Amini, D-bounded and D-compact sets in finite dimensional probabilistic normed spaces, to appear.

[8] A. N. Šerstnev, On the motion of a random normed space, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **149**(2)(1963), 280-283(English translation in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **4**(1963)388-390)

[9] B.Schweizer and A.Sklar, Probabilistic Metric Spaces, Elsevier North Holand, New York, 1983.

Dept. of Math., Azad University, Nur, Iran
E-mail address: amohsen@umz.ac.ir

Dept. of Math., Azad University, Amol, P.O.Box 678, Iran
E-mail address: rsaadati@eml.cc